

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/894,547 | 06/28/2001 | William R. Wagner | 214001-00810-1 | 6231 |
| 75 | 90 09/09/2005 | | EXAM | NER |
| Debra Z. Anderson | | | POPA, ILEANA | |
| Eckert Seamans | Cherin & Mellott, LLC | | | |
| 44th Floor | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 600 Grant Street | | | 1633 | |
| Pittsburgh, PA 15219 | | | DATE MAILED: 09/09/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | <u> </u> | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | 09/894,547 | WAGNER ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Ileana Popa | 1633 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of this communication. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | _, | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> ☐ | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3)[| Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | ion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6) | 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| - | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-22 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | • | | | | | | |
| Attachmer | nt(s) . | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | | |
| | 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | | |
| | er No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-22 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 10-13, drawn to a method for delivery to a tissue or cellular surface of a chemical entity, classified in class 424, subclass 93.1.
 - II. Claims 14-17, drawn to a method for delivery to a tissue or cellular surface of a biological entity, classified in class 424, subclass 93.1.
 - III. Claim 18, drawn to a method for delivery to a tissue or cellular surface of aDNA, classified in class 424, subclass 93.1.
 - IV. Claims 21 and 22, drawn to a modified tissue or cell surface, classified in class 435, subclass 325.

Claims 1-9, 19 and 20 link(s) inventions of groups I, II and III. The restriction requirement among the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claims 1-9, 19 and 20. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if

Application/Control Number: 09/894,547 Page 3

Art Unit: 1633

any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

In addition to the above:

The presently pending linking claims are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising:

- ionically, covalently, non-covalently or hydrogen bonding, as recited in claim 4;
- ester, aldehyde, isocyanate, aldehyde, tosylate, tresylate, epxoide, maleimide, cycloester, cycloanhydride, isocyanate or N-hydroxysuccinimide, as recited in claims 5-7;
- biotin/avidin, ligand/receptor/, antibody/antigen, , primary antibody/secondary antibody, protein A/fc IgG1 or protein c/fc IgG1.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species from each of the above groups of species, even though this requirement is traversed. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. Should invention of group I be elected for prosecution, a further group restriction is required as follows:
 - I(i). Claims 11 and 12, drawn to a method for delivery to a tissue or cellular surface of a pharmaceutical agent, classified in class 424, subclass 93.1.

Application/Control Number: 09/894,547 Page 4

Art Unit: 1633

I(ii). Claim 13, drawn to a method for delivery to a tissue or cellular surface of a contrast or imaging agent, classified in class 424, subclass 93.1.

Claim 10 link(s) inventions of groups I(i) and I(ii). The restriction requirement among the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claims 10. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01:

- 4. Should invention of group II be elected for prosecution, a further group restriction is required as follows:
 - II(i). Claim 16, drawn to a method for delivery to a tissue or cellular surface of a chemically modified cell, classified in class 424, subclass 93.1.

Application/Control Number: 09/894,547

Art Unit: 1633

II(ii). Claim 17, drawn to a method for delivery to a tissue or cellular surface of a genetically modified, classified in class 424, subclass 93.1.

Page 5

Claims 14 and 15 link(s) inventions of groups II(i) and II(ii). The restriction requirement among the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claims 14 and 15. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

5. Should invention of group III be elected for prosecution, species restriction to one of the following is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Art Unit: 1633

The presently pending claims are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising: viral vector, non-viral vector or naked nucleic acid sequence.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

The inventions of groups I-III and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the modified tissue or cell may be used as experimental models to study receptor distribution on the surface, or intracellular signaling, for example.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance,

Art Unit: 1633

whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy. Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

The inventions of groups I-III are patentably distinct from each other because they are directed to methods that have distinct steps and require different compositions. For example, steps and compositions to deliver to a tissue or cell a chemical entity are different from steps and compositions used to deliver a biological entity or a DNA. Steps and compositions to deliver a biological entity to a tissue or cell are different from steps and compositions used to deliver a chemical entity or a DNA. Steps and compositions to deliver a DNA to a tissue or cell are different from steps and compositions used to deliver a chemical entity or a biological entity.

The inventions of groups I(i) and I(ii) are patentably distinct from each other because they are directed to methods that have distinct steps and require different compositions. In the instant case, the methods of groups I(i) and I(ii) use distinct steps to deliver, to a tissue or cell, a pharmaceutical composition (invention I(i)) or

Art Unit: 1633

contrast/imaging agent (invention I(ii)) that have distinct structural and chemical characteristics and utilities.

Similarly, the inventions of groups II(i) and I(ii) are patentably distinct from each other because they are directed to methods that have distinct steps and require different compositions for practice. Steps and compositions in delivering a chemically modified cell cannot be used for delivering a genetically modified cell. Steps and compositions in delivering a genetically modified cell cannot be used for delivering a chemically modified cell.

7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ileana Popa whose telephone number is 571-272-5546.

The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 am-5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-0731. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/894,547 Page 9

Art Unit: 1633

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ileana Popa

DAVE TRONG NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED